

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/656,808	09/07/2000	Ian R. McLean	60,426-047	1859
24500 75	590 01/17/2003		_	
	DRPORATION	EXAMINER		
170 WOOD AV	AL PROPERTY LAW VENUE SOUTH	DEPARTMENT	SAN MARTIN, EDGARDO	
ISELIN, NJ 0	8830		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2837	
			DATE MAILED: 01/17/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<	\mathscr{V}

<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/656,808	MCLEAN, IAN R.				
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Edgardo San Martin	2837				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Ja</u>	anuany 2003					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
<u>'</u>						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 2.4-12 and 14-20 is/are pending in the	e application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,4-12 and 14-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	ted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exar	miner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
S Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/656,808

Art Unit: 2837

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 2, 4 12 and 14 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Geddes (US 5,229,556) in view of Brackett et al. (US 5,377,629).

With respect to Claim 8, Geddes teaches an induction noise attenuation system for a combustion engine (Col.3, Lines 53 – 66) comprising a portion of an air induction system defining a passageway (Fig.1, Item 14) carrying a sound wave, a Helmholtz resonator (Fig.1, Item 58) having a chamber (Fig.1, Item 34) at least partially defining a cavity and a neck (Fig.1, Item 41) in the chamber fluidly connecting the portion of the air induction system and the cavity, the chamber and the neck producing a passive response to the sound wave, an active resonator (Fig.1,Item 28) disposed within the chamber; and a driver (Fig.1, Item 60) connected to the active resonator producing a signal for driving the active resonator and producing a forced response for supplementing the passive response (Col.4, Lines 25 – 39), but fails to explicitly disclose wherein the passageway is arranged between an intake manifold and a throttle body.

On the other hand, Brackett et al. teach a noise attenuation system a portion of an air induction system defining a passageway that is arranged between an intake manifold (Fig.1, Item 18) and a throttle body (Fig.1, Item 16).

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art to place the Geddes noise attenuation system at the Brackett et al. noise attenuator position because by positioning the noise attenuation system between the manifold and a throttle body is that at part throttle conditions, the torque is improved with lower loss in wide open throttle torque.

With respect to Claim 2, Geddes teaches wherein the neck (Fig.1, Item 41) is a tubular structure extending from the chamber (Col.4, Lines 50+).

With respect to Claims 4 and 14, Geddes teaches wherein the active resonator is a loudspeaker (Fig.1, Item 20), and wherein the loudspeaker is a woofer (Col.2, Lines 1 – 14).

With respect to Claim 5, Geddes teaches wherein the chamber (Fig.1, Item 34) includes a flange (Fig.1, Item 32) with the loudspeaker (Fig.1, Item 28) supported thereon, and the loudspeaker having a diaphragm (Fig.1, Item 37) disposed within an opening in the flange for producing the forced response.

With respect to Claim 6, Geddes teaches wherein the flange (Fig.1, Item 32) includes at least one pressure equalization port (Fig.1, Item 39) there through in fluid communication with the cavity (Fig.1, Item 34).

Application/Control Number: 09/656,808

Art Unit: 2837

With respect to Claim 7, Geddes teaches wherein the flange (Fig.1, Item 32) is arranged opposite the neck (Fig.1, Item 41).

With respect to Claim 9, Brackett et al. teach the driver including a signal source that detects a speed of the combustion engine for synchronizing the forced response relative to the speed (Fig.1; Col. 2, Lines 30 – 36).

With respect to Claim 10, Brackett et al. teach wherein the signal source is engine RPM (Fig.6).

With respect to Claim 11, Geddes teaches wherein the driver includes a phase compensator for synchronizing the forced response approximately 180° out of phase with the sound wave (Col.1, Lines 44-55).

With respect to Claim 12, Geddes teaches wherein the driver (Fig.1, Item 60) includes an amplifier (Fig.1, Item 72) for amplifying a signal from the signal source (Fig.1, Item 12) (Col.4, Lines 25 – 39).

With respect to Claim 15, Geddes teaches a method of attenuating noise in an induction system comprising,

- a) sensing an engine noise signal;
- b) producing a phase compensated engine noise signal;
- c) driving a loudspeaker with the phase compensated engine noise signal; and
- d) propagating a sound wave with the loudspeaker to attenuate the noise in the induction system. (Col.3, Line 67 Col.5, Line 64)

However, Geddes fails to disclose the engine signal to be an engine speed signal.

Nevertheless, Brackett teach a control system method for an internal combustion engine resonator in which the speed of the engine is determined and a signal is produced in order to control an actuator that tunes the resonator to attenuate the noise made by the engine (Fig.1; Col.2, Lines 7 – 54).

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art to employ the Geddes noise attenuation system as the Brackett et al. noise attenuator because the Brackett et al. design relates the produced torque with the engine speed, and it was found that at part throttle conditions, the torque is improved with lower loss in wide open throttle torque. In addition, the Geddes design would be more efficient to attenuate the produced sound because it would produce a destructive wave in direct relation with the produced sound.

With respect to Claim 16, Geddes teaches further including the step of e) amplifying the engine noise signal (Fig.1, Item 12) (Col.4, Lines 25 – 39).

With respect to Claim 17, Geddes teaches further including the step of f) propagating a passive sound wave with a Helmholtz resonator, wherein step d) supplements the passive sound wave (Fig.1, Item 20; Col.4, Lines 18 – 39).

With respect to Claims 18 – 20, Geddes teaches wherein step b) includes determining a loudspeaker response, wherein step b) includes determining a Helmholtz

Application/Control Number: 09/656,808 Page 6

Art Unit: 2837

resonator cavity response, and wherein step b) includes determining a Helmholtz resonator neck response (Fig.1, Item 24; Col.4, Lines 25 – 39).

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Contact Information

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edgardo San Martin whose telephone number is (703)308-1050. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Nappi can be reached on (703)308-3370. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)305-3431 for regular communications and (703)305-3431 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

Edgardo San Martín Patent Examiner Art Unit 2837 Class 181 January 15, 2003

> ROBERT E. NAPPI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800